

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,530	04/10/2007	Eberhard Amtmann	2969-1-001	9907
23565 KLAUBER &	7590 05/07/2010 IACKSON	EXAM	IINER	
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE			SAMALA, JAGADISHWAR RAO	
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,530	AMTMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA	1618		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after SIX (6) MOI If NO period for r Failure to reply w Any reply receive	he may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field NITHS from the maining date of this communication. Whis from the maining date of this communication exply is specified above, the maximum statutory period witi apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Whith the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ad by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).
Status	
2a)☐ This act 3)☐ Since th	usive to communication(s) filed on tion is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. This action is non-final matters, prosecution as to the merits is in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of C	laims
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☐ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. ne above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.) is/are allowed.) is/are rejected.) is/are objected to.) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Pape	ers
10) The draw Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the Examiner. wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. tt may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ment drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). n or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35	i U.S.C. § 119
a)	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). b) Some * c) None of: retified copies of the priority documents have been received. Pertified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage pplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

3) Informa	ation Disclesure Stat	tement(s) (FTO/SS/08)
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	_
U.S. Patent and Tra-		
PTOL-326 (Rev	v. 08-06)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition containing at least one platinum complex with a secondary xanthate.

Group II, claim(s) 6-8, drawn to a method for treating a cancerous or an autoimmune disease

Group III, claim(s) 9, drawn to a process for the production of a pharmaceutical composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature shared by each invention is a platinum complex with a secondary xanthate. The pharmaceutical composition containing at least one platinum complex with a secondary xanthate of claim 1 does not present a contribution over the prior art since it is described in (US 5,068,375)). As result, Group I does not share a special technical feature with the process for the production of a pharmaceutical

Art Unit: 1618

composition of Group III. Therefore, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept, and unity between Groups I-III is broken.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Applicant elects Group I the following species election is required:

- Composition comprising additionally one or more following compounds:
 - (a) cyclosporine, rapamycin, 15-desoxyspergualin, OKT3, azothioprine, cytokine, interferon and cytostatic agent (claim 3 and 4 recites these species).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: for each group the compound types are chemically and structurally distinct chemical entities.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

Art Unit: 1618

generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. The following claim(s) are generic: For Group I, claim 1 and 2 are generic, For Group II, claims 6 and 7 are generic.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1618

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGADISHWAR R. SAMALA whose telephone number is (571)272-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 A.M to 5.00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618 Jagadishwar R Samala Examiner Art Unit 1618 Application/Control Number: 10/582,530 Page 7

Art Unit: 1618

.